

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

PHILIP LEE; PAMELA WHITE;  
PATRICIA VANDUSEN; RONALD  
ALLIX; and RANDY WELCH,  
individually and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BELVOIR MEDIA GROUP, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:22-cv-12153-SDK-DRG

Hon. Shalina D. Kumar

Mag. David R. Grand

**PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY**

Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, submit this notice of supplemental authority to apprise the Court of a recent decision relevant to the issues raised in Plaintiffs' response in opposition (ECF No. 19) to Defendant's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 18):

1. On March 30, 2023, Judge Shalina D. Kumar issued a decision denying the defendant's motion to dismiss in *Batts v. Gannett Co.*, Case No. 4:22-cv-10685, ECF No. 28 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 30, 2023), a PPPA action similar to the instant matter.

A copy of the *Batts* decision is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

2. In *Batts*, the court held that the plaintiffs, having adequately stated a claim for violation of the PPPA, necessarily had Article III standing to bring suit in federal court. *See Batts*, at 7 ("The Court finds that it has been conclusively established that 'a violation of the PPPA necessarily arises from the nonconsensual dissemination of information that reflects a plaintiff's personal reading choices, which acutely invades a statutorily protected right to privacy in such matters and, thus, inflicts harm in a concrete and particularized way[.]'"') (quoting *Pratt v. KSE Sportsman Media, Inc.*, 586 F. Supp. 3d 666, 671 (E.D. Mich. 2022)).

3. The court in *Batts* also held that the six-year limitation period found in M.C.L § 600.5813 applied to plaintiffs' PPPA claims, and that the limitation period was tolled for 101 days pursuant to Mich. Executive Order 2020-58 and Michigan Supreme Court Administrative Order 2020-3 (the "COVID-19 Orders"). *See id.*, at

10 (“Consistent with the now well-established rulings from courts within the circuit, the Court finds that the six-year limitations period applies to the plaintiffs’ claims.”) & 11 n.4 (finding that the six-year limitation period was tolled for 101 days pursuant to the COVID-19 Orders).

4. Finally, the *Batts* court found that the plaintiffs’ complaint contained “well-pleaded allegations that they were USA Today subscribers and that Gannett disclosed their private reader information without their authorization within the relevant time period.” *Batts*, at 13. Recognizing that it “must accept plaintiffs’ allegations as true,” the court “f[ound] that they are sufficient to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face” and accordingly denied the defendant’s motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6). *Id.* at 13; *see also id.* at 4-5 (construing defendant’s motion as a Rule 12(b)(6) motion). Notably, in reaching its decision, the court considered and rejected defendant’s arguments regarding the recent decision in *Nashel v. The New York Times Co.*, 22-cv-10633 (E.D. Mich. Oct.11, 2022). *See Batts*, at 2 n.2.

Dated: March 31, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ E. Powell Miller  
E. Powell Miller (P39487)  
THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C.  
950 W. University Drive, Suite 300  
Rochester, MI 48307  
Tel: 248-841-2200  
epm@millerlawpc.com

Joseph I. Marchese  
Philip L. Fraietta (P85228)  
BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.  
888 Seventh Avenue  
New York, New York 10019  
Tel: 646.837.7150  
Fax: 212.989.9163  
[jmarchese@bursor.com](mailto:jmarchese@bursor.com)  
[pfraietta@bursor.com](mailto:pfraietta@bursor.com)

Frank S. Hedin  
David W. Hall  
HEDIN HALL LLP  
1395 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1140  
Miami, Florida 33131  
Tel: 305.357.2107  
Fax: 305.200.8801  
[fhedin@hedinhall.com](mailto:fhedin@hedinhall.com)  
[dhall@hedinhall.com](mailto:dhall@hedinhall.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on March 31, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing documents using the Court's electronic filing system, which will notify all counsel of record authorized to receive such filings.

*/s/ E. Powell Miller*  
E. Powell Miller (P39487)  
**THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C.**  
950 W. University Dr., Ste. 300  
Rochester, MI 48307  
Tel: (248) 841-2200  
epm@millerlawpc.com